

## THE REALITY PARADOX: CONTROVERSIES, REFLECTIONS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Giray Saynur Derman<sup>1, a</sup> - Ozan Can Yılmaz<sup>2, b\*</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Marmara University Social Sciences Institute, Faculty of Communication, Interpersonal Communication, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Marmara University Social Sciences Institute, Faculty of Communication, Interpersonal Communication, Istanbul, Turkey

\*Corresponding Author:

E-mail: ozancany24@univie.ac.at

(Received 12<sup>th</sup> February 2023; accepted 16<sup>th</sup> June 2023)

a:  ORCID 0000-0001-9339-7649, b:  ORCID 0000-0002-4520-052X

### ABSTRACT

This article presents a critical examination that seeks to unveil the ethical considerations inherent in reality television. By carefully examining its profound impact on participants, viewers, and society, the article aims to reveal the multifaceted issues at hand. The study draws upon case examples of exploitative practices employed within reality shows, including manipulating prearranged storylines, deploying emotional coercion, and inflicting public humiliation. Moreover, it meticulously unpacks the harmful effects of these practices, unearthing the toll they exact upon the well-being and personal relationships of those who participate. In addition, the article critically assesses how reality television distorts the fabric of reality itself, perpetuating harmful stereotypes, fostering the proliferation of detrimental cultural norms, and molding viewers' self-perception and aspirations. With a discerning eye, it brings to the forefront the wider societal implications that result from the pervasive influence of this genre, such as the desensitization wrought by vulgar and sensational content, the rampant glorification of materialism and wealth, and the consequent devaluation of truly meaningful achievements. Firmly underlining the media's responsibility in this context, the article advocates for establishing strong ethical guidelines and cultivating media literacy to foster responsible consumption. Findings emphasize the importance of raising awareness and holding all parties involved in producing and broadcasting reality shows accountable for their actions. Findings also resoundingly reaffirm the persisting ethical concerns entangled with the domain of reality television, underscoring the necessity of mindfulness, accountability, and responsible media practices.

**Key Words:** Reality Television, media studies, media literacy, media responsibility

### INTRODUCTION

This study explores the multifaceted impact of reality shows on their viewers, focusing on the harmful effects they can have. We investigate the ethical dilemmas that arise from the manipulation of events and the question of truthfulness in popular reality series, aiming to shed light on the potential harm caused to the audience. A significant concern lies in the scripted scenes that are subtly integrated into these shows, creating a fictionalized depiction of reality. This blurring of fact and fabrication raises concerns about the authenticity of the experiences and emotions portrayed. As viewers immerse themselves in these manufactured narratives, they become susceptible to psychological manipulation, distorting their perception of reality. This intentional manipulation compromises the integrity of the shows and also affects the moral compass of the viewers, leaving them uncertain about what is genuine and what is staged. Furthermore, the ethical implications go beyond mere deception, as the emotional impact on

the audience must be recognized. Viewers invest their time, emotions, and sometimes even personal identification with the participants, forming a deep connection to the show. However, discovering that their emotional investment was based on fabricated scenarios can lead to feelings of betrayal, disillusionment, and emotional abuse. This exploitation of the audience's trust and vulnerability raises important questions about the ethical responsibility of reality show producers and broadcasters.

Through a thorough analysis of prominent reality shows, we aim to provide a deeper understanding of the dual nature of these programs. While they offer entertainment and escapism for many, they also possess the power to influence attitudes, behaviors, and societal norms. Understanding the complex interplay between reality shows' entertaining and damaging aspects is crucial for viewers and industry professionals. It empowers viewers to critically evaluate the content they consume and encourages producers to uphold ethical standards in their pursuit of ratings and success. The role of entertainment in reality television is of significant importance, alongside its informative and educational functions within the media landscape (Pavlikova, 2021). In television, the sender's objective is to provide pleasure and a sense of enjoyment, while the receiver actively seeks gratification. Entertainment is widely recognized as a fundamental purpose of media consumption and is one of the sought-after uses and gratifications by media users.

Reality television, like other forms of mass media, aims to entertain its audience by offering enjoyment, relaxation, and an escape from reality. This aligns with Schramm's concept that entertainment requires voluntary suspending of disbelief (Chandler & Munday, 2011). However, scholars from the Frankfurt School, such as Adorno, viewed this suspension of disbelief as a form of political passivity, suggesting that the true function of mass-media entertainment was to pacify the working class (Yazdanparast et al., 2018). In the city television, this particular function of entertainment refers to its ability to provide individuals with an opportunity to unwind and temporarily disengage from the stressful realities of the world. Despite ongoing debates and criticisms about the quality of content in reality shows, it is important to acknowledge their benefits, such as exposing viewers to new events, offering leisure activities, and evoking emotional responses.

Reality television serves as a medium through which television producers aim to present a constructed reality that fulfills the desires and expectations of the audience. This objective aligns with the overarching goal of most, if not all, television programming, which is to cater to the needs and preferences of viewers (Miller & Narro, 2018). In the realm of constructed reality television, cultural values exert a significant influence on how messages are conveyed to the audience. Miller and Narro provide insightful examples of two such values. For instance, in the television series "The Bachelor," women contestants often conform to gain an advantage in the competition by molding themselves into what they perceive the bachelor desires. This demonstrates the importance of adhering to societal norms and expectations within the context of the show. Another example can be seen in the television show "Survivor," where materialistic values are prominent as contestants compete for rewards such as a new car, emphasizing the significance of material possessions and tangible rewards within the show's framework. By examining these instances, we can observe how cultural values permeate reality television, influencing the behaviors and motivations of the participants. These values shape the actions of individuals involved and contribute to these popular reality series' overall narrative and themes (ibid.).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This section outlines the methodology employed in conducting the critical analysis of reality television programs and formulating the propositions presented in this study. The research methodology encompasses several key steps, including data collection, data analysis, and the application of critical discourse analysis techniques. The following subsections provide a detailed overview of each stage of the research process. The first step in this study involved gathering a comprehensive selection of reality television programs for analysis. A systematic approach was employed to identify various programs from various genres and networks. This involved conducting extensive literature reviews, consulting industry databases, and considering recommendations from experts in the field. The aim was to ensure the inclusion of programs that represented different formats, themes, and levels of popularity within the reality TV genre.

Once the data collection phase was completed, a qualitative data analysis approach was employed to examine the selected reality TV programs. This involved viewing episodes and seasons of the chosen programs in their entirety to gain a comprehensive understanding of their content, narratives, and stylistic elements. Detailed notes were taken during the viewing process, documenting key themes, character portrayals, and narrative structures, and observed participant exploitation or ethical concerns. Critical discourse analysis was the primary analytical framework for examining the selected reality TV programs. This approach allows for a systematic exploration of language use, power dynamics, and underlying ideologies present in the discourse of the programs. The focus was on critically examining the language, narratives, representations, and visual cues used within the shows to uncover the ethical implications and societal effects they may have.

Within the critical discourse analysis, a range of analytical tools and techniques were applied. These included identifying recurring themes, analyzing character portrayals, examining the use of stereotypes, investigating narrative structures, and exploring the production techniques employed to create specific effects. A detailed understanding of reality TV programs' underlying ethical concerns and implications was achieved by combining qualitative content analysis and interpretive techniques. Based on the findings from the data analysis and critical discourse analysis, propositions were formulated to encapsulate the primary issues surrounding the reality TV genre. These propositions emerged through a process of inductive reasoning, drawing on patterns, themes, and ethical concerns identified during the analysis. Each proposition was carefully crafted to succinctly capture a specific aspect of reality television's ethical dilemmas and societal implications.

## **CULTURAL REFLECTIONS OR INSTRUMENTS OF EXPLOITATION?**

Reality television has faced significant criticism, particularly regarding its use of "reality" when the shows attempt to recreate preconceived events. Critics argue that the genre fails to reflect reality as it truly is, often resorting to deceptive and fraudulent practices, such as prearranged storylines and scenes. One primary concern revolves around the issue of public humiliation and the exploitation of participants, particularly in competition shows. This type of programming is seen as a cheap way to promote individuals who may not deserve fame or applause. Moreover, reality shows can give prominence to materialistic culture and vulgar discourse, raising moral concerns.

In competition-based reality programs like "Big Brother," "The Real World," and "Survivor," it is claimed that day-to-day activities and program formats are prearranged and

scheduled. Producers play a role in creating an artificial environment and selecting participants. Instead of allowing participants to navigate genuine life situations, the fabricated environment shapes the scenes and storylines. Producers intentionally design events and conflicts to make the fabricated reality more engaging for viewers. Mark Burnett, the creator of "Survivor" and other reality shows, even distances himself from the term "reality TV," stating that he tells good stories through unscripted drama.

Some reality shows have been accused of presenting fictionalized environments where participants act out pre-planned storylines rather than reflecting on real-life situations unscripted. "The Hills" is a notable example, with allegations that its plots were largely scripted and that the educational institutions featured on the show were entirely fabricated. The series "Keeping Up with the Kardashians" also faced claims of scripted scenes, reshoots, and editing during Kim Kardashian's divorce. In addition to the issue of fabricated reality, cultural norms, values, and morals come into play. Reality shows have been seen as a threat to young adults who seek their identity through these fictionalized portrayals of reality. The Chinese singing competition "Super Girl" and the Indonesian program "Jika Aku Menjadi" have faced criticism for promoting unattainable ideals, excessive vulgarity, and materialism. These examples demonstrate how reality shows can contribute to damaging ideologies and fairy tale narratives.

The idea that anyone can become a star is prominent in reality shows but also raises concerns about the violation of artistry. Talent-search programs like "Idol" and "X Factor" provide a platform for individuals with varying degrees of talent, leading to emotional distress and a sense of failure when things do not go as planned. Critics argue that the sudden fame offered by these shows is unhealthy, as it devalues artistic expression and undermines the efforts of actors and writers who have dedicated their lives to the industry. Children have also been involved in reality shows, such as the case of the Gosselin children in "Kate Plus 8." Despite obtaining parental consent, these shows have faced criticism for subjecting children to emotional distress and constant exploitation.

In some instances, legal action has been taken, highlighting concerns over the violation and misuse of children for the sake of entertainment. Many prime-time and network television shows categorized as reality shows can be described as game shows, wherein participants compete throughout the season for a prize or the opportunity to find a spouse. Examples of such shows include "The Bachelor," "The Bachelorette," "Survivor," "The Amazing Race," and various programs on MTV and VH1. These shows provide a clear game structure, with winners receiving rewards at the end. Another variant of the reality show genre is exemplified by programs like "The Biggest Loser," where viewers derive pleasure from watching obese individuals lose weight and receive financial compensation for their efforts. However, this raises ethical concerns regarding the exploitation of individuals and the concept of rewarding them for personal struggles.

The influence of reality television can be argued to have a negative impact, as it fosters a fervent demand for increasingly appealing, shocking, and disturbing content. Viewers seek to satisfy their inner conflicts, desires, and ambitions through these reality shows, often leading to a craving for watered-down violence and absurdity. Consequently, this can desensitize viewers and contribute to a culture of vulgarity, where humiliation becomes a form of entertainment. Additionally, reality television perpetuates that one's self-worth is determined by financial and superficial factors, thereby marginalizing individuals with lower socioeconomic status who are excluded from pursuing the so-called "Western dream." A specific example that exemplifies the propagation of grotesque capitalism and tacit shaming of the poor is the lifestyle show "My Super Sweet 16." The program revolves around families lavishly spoiling their children with extravagant presents, cars, and parties worth millions of dollars. By promoting excessive wealth and showcasing the indulgence of the rich, the show

inadvertently promotes a culture that values material possessions and perpetuates social inequality. Consequently, young viewers are exposed to a distorted image of success and are implicitly encouraged to prioritize financial status over other personal growth or achievement forms. In this regard, reality television shows often assume the form of game shows, offering prizes or partnerships as rewards. However, pursuing increasingly sensational content raises ethical concerns regarding exploiting participants. Moreover, the relentless demand for shocking and superficial entertainment fosters desensitization, vulgarity, and the marginalization of individuals with lower socioeconomic status. Additionally, shows that promoting excessive wealth contributes to propagating a culture that values materialism and perpetuates social inequalities.

In the last two decades, there has been a notable surge in criticism aimed at various reality TV programs, as they have been accused of exploiting participants and jeopardizing their mental and emotional well-being (Klein & Coleman, 2021). An exemplary case that exemplifies this concern is the show "The Dark Side of Fame with Piers Morgan," which chronicles the journeys of aspiring actors and musicians as they vie for a chance at stardom. Producers' intense pressure and manipulative tactics can have enduring detrimental effects on the mental health of the contestants. The relentless pursuit of fame and the inclination to triumph at any cost can drive individuals to their limits, frequently resulting in conditions such as anxiety, depression, and even substance abuse.

Another area of apprehension within reality TV is the utilization of exploitative challenges that subject participants to physical and psychological distress. Survival-based reality shows, in particular, have generated controversy due to their inclusion of extreme challenges involving sleep deprivation, isolation, and perilous stunts (Babula et al., 2020). While it can be argued that these challenges make for captivating television, we assert that they foster a culture of voyeurism and sadism. Moreover, the competitive nature of these shows can cause participants to neglect their personal well-being and push themselves beyond reasonable limits, potentially resulting in significant harm (Hill, 2017).

Dating and relationship-centered reality TV shows have long been criticized for portraying toxic and unhealthy dynamics. A prime illustration of such programming is "The Love Games: Bad Girls Need Love Too," which has faced backlash for promoting manipulative behavior and unrealistic expectations within romantic relationships. Contestants are frequently encouraged to use deceitful tactics and emotional manipulation to secure a desired partner's attention and affection. This distorts viewers' perceptions of healthy relationships and exposes participants to emotional trauma and possible long-term damage to their self-esteem (Lavie, 2016).

A significant concern surrounding reality TV shows is exploiting vulnerable individuals for entertainment. Several shows have been scrutinized for treating contestants with pre-existing mental health conditions or other vulnerabilities in recent years. These individuals are often cast in order to generate dramatic storylines, with little regard for the potential negative impact on their well-being. In this sense, it can be argued that the intrusive cameras, intense scrutiny, and public shaming associated with reality TV can exacerbate mental health issues and engender further distress (Lavie, 2017).

The emergence of social media has exacerbated the detrimental effects of reality TV. Participants and winners of these shows often experience sudden fame, which puts them under immense pressure and exposes them to intense public scrutiny. The incessant online harassment, body shaming, and invasion of privacy faced by reality TV stars can severely affect their mental health. Additionally, these shows' perpetuation of unrealistic beauty standards and success contributes to negative body image and self-esteem issues among viewers, particularly impressionable young audiences.

Recent research in reality TV has generated critical discourse on various aspects of these shows, shedding light on important issues. The research on the portrayal of race, class, and gender in "Gypsy" reality TV programs has highlighted the potential for stereotyping and misrepresentation, emphasizing the significance of fair and accurate representation of marginalized communities in these shows (Tremlett, 2014). Moreover, understanding the interconnection between neoliberalism and reality TV has revealed how these programs often reinforce individualistic values, consumerism, and the commodification of personal experiences (Lavie, 2020).

Furthermore, exploring the utilization of digital tools by authoritarian leaders to validate their authority has exposed the manipulative tactics employed in reality TV and the potential for propaganda and manipulation (Toepfl, 2016). Examining self-evaluation threats and *schadenfreude* within reality TV contexts has provided insights into the psychological aspects of viewership and the complex emotional responses evoked by these shows (Watanabe, 2016). Additionally, research on the influence of cosmetic surgery reality TV on attitudes toward cosmetic procedures has raised critical ethical considerations regarding the potential impact on body image perceptions and the normalization of invasive procedures (Sperry et al., 2009).

Moreover, the construction of race in reality TV has shed light on the perpetuation of racial stereotypes and the limited representation of racial diversity in these shows (Bell-Jordan, 2008). Understanding the role of reality TV in shaping public opinion on societal issues has emphasized the need for critical media literacy and discernment among viewers (Feltwell et al., 2017; Ruth, Lundy, 2005). Examining micro-celebrities on Instagram has unveiled the evolving landscape of fame and the emergence of new forms of online celebrity culture (Rahmawati, 2021). Lastly, the intersectionality of disability, poverty, and reality TV has drawn attention to the complex intersections of social identities and the potential to exploit and stigmatize vulnerable individuals within these shows (Heeney, 2015).

In the world of reality television, where controversial behavior and the elevation of superficial fame dominate, it is crucial to delve into the issues addressed in this research. The genre, with its implications of vulgarity and the elevation of hegemonic and populist values, stands accused. It mercilessly subjects its participants to gross humiliation, all for the sake of our personal amusement. It shamelessly exploits the vulnerable and perpetuates an immature and distorted perception of relationships, particularly in partner-finding shows. Sexualizing individuals reduces them to mere objects while promoting unrealistic body ideals and degrading alternative outlooks. The television executives behind these productions show no concern for the well-being of the participants; their sole interest lies in amassing obscene amounts of wealth at the expense of those who willingly step into the circus.

However, amidst the shadows of criticism, a glimmer of defense emerges. In his thought-provoking book *'Spectacle of the Real: From Hollywood to Reality TV,'* Geoff King invites us to consider that even in the realm of fabrication and contrivance, the essence of reality still lingers. As in shows such as *'The Bachelor'* and *'The Bachelorette,'* where settings are carefully staged and outcomes predetermined, a sense of authenticity emerges on the screen. The simulated environment of reality TV enhances emotional engagement by stripping participants of their familiar surroundings and intensifying the sense of intimacy (Dunn, 2016). This heightened state of engagement is experienced by both the participants and the viewers (*ibid.*). The proliferation of reality TV shows that focus on dating, intimacy, and sexual relationships has validated and normalized the covert observation of others as a form of entertainment (Matthews & Nairn, 2020). Scholars argue that audiences are ideologically manipulated into holding positive views towards voyeurism and surveillance of others through reality TV (Matthews & Nairn, 2020). Additionally, reality TV shows have the potential to widen the space of the television experience and interpretation through active audience participation,

which can have political implications and engender hidden debates relevant to citizenship and belonging (Nikunen, 2015). Reality TV can shape perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors by presenting viewers with a blend of authentic and simulated elements (Stefanone et al., 2010).

We find ourselves dwelling in an age deeply immersed in mass culture, where the allure of broadsheets has given way to the embrace of more accessible mediums. In this landscape, reality TV shows stand as a reflection impossible to ignore. People no longer yearn to live within elitist structures or passively consume what broadcasters deem worthy. The desires of the audience shape the outcomes of media. Furthermore, it is worth noting that these reality shows provide a mirror, presenting various behaviors exhibited under different circumstances. Rather than being a detriment, this aspect can be seen as an advantage, granting freedom of speech and offering viewers a vast realm to express their opinions, talents, and skills. Thus, reality shows become expressions of popular and democratic taste. At the same time, it has been argued that these shows expose individuals to harmful content. It is also essential to recognize that no one is coerced into appearing on or watching these programs.

To demonstrate further, let us consider the examples of shows such as 'Intervention' and 'Celebrity Rehab.' These programs serve a purpose beyond mere entertainment; they aid families and friends in coping with personal struggles, fostering acceptance and a shared understanding of the challenges faced. In this way, they unite and mobilize people around common issues. Similarly, regarding the advantage of reality television, programs like 'Supernannies' offer didactic lessons for families, employing media as a form of engaging education. Additionally, reality shows provide participants a platform to showcase and enhance their talents. Take, for instance, MTV's 'Made,' which offers coaching to teenagers aspiring to excel in their chosen fields. These shows help shape the participants' careers through feedback, constructive criticism, and encouragement. Furthermore, reality programs can raise awareness and ignite public consciousness regarding social issues, granting a voice to those who stand for a cause. Moreover, our behavior on these shows influences how we are perceived by others, making them a part of our impression management. In this sense, reality TV has emerged as a counter to conventional sitcoms and dramas that confined participation to the elite, neglecting the wider populace. By defying traditional boundaries of drama and comedy, reality television opens doors previously closed. It is also lauded for its cost-effectiveness, as these shows require fewer financial resources than their scripted counterparts, sidestepping the demands of highly paid egotistical stars and finicky writers. Thus, while reality television remains enshrouded in controversy, we must acknowledge the nuanced interplay between its flaws and virtues. It is a realm that provokes heated debate yet offers a glimpse into aspects of our human nature.

## RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we present the propositions that emerged from our analysis, which serve as key discussion points and potential areas for resolution or heightened awareness. Before discussing the reality TV genre's dark side in more detail, we present reality TV's positive dimensions by emphasizing fair and accurate representation, critical media literacy, and ethical considerations as key focal points. Doing so aims to uncover the potential benefits associated with talent recognition, educational objectives, and disseminating awareness regarding social issues.

***Fair and Accurate Representation:*** One of the strengths of reality TV is its ability to provide a platform for underrepresented voices. There has been a growing demand for diverse and inclusive programming in recent years. Reality TV can contribute to this by showcasing

individuals from different backgrounds, cultures, and experiences. Doing so emphasizes the importance of fair representation and helps break stereotypes, fostering a more inclusive society.

**Critical Media Literacy:** Reality TV is valuable for promoting critical media literacy. Viewers are exposed to various situations, personalities, and narratives, prompting them to question the authenticity and motives behind what they see on screen. This heightened awareness encourages audiences to become more discerning media consumers, distinguishing between genuine reality and manipulated narratives. Critical media literacy skills gained from reality TV can extend beyond entertainment, helping individuals navigate the complexities of media representation in other aspects of their lives.

**Ethical Considerations:** The production of reality TV shows demands ethical considerations to protect the well-being and dignity of participants. In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on mental health support and participant welfare in reality TV production. This increased awareness fosters a more ethical approach to these shows, prioritizing the individuals' well-being. Reality TV can set a precedent for responsible media production by openly discussing ethical considerations and influencing other genres to follow suit.

**Talent Recognition:** Reality TV provides a unique platform for discovering and showcasing talent. Many successful actors, singers, and performers today owe their careers to reality TV competitions that gave them a chance to shine. These shows offer a springboard for aspiring individuals who may have struggled to break into the industry. The exposure and opportunities provided by reality TV can lead to significant career advancements, allowing talented individuals to realize their dreams and share their gifts with the world.

**Educational Purposes:** Reality TV can be a powerful educational tool, offering informative and thought-provoking content. Shows like "Survivor" and "The Amazing Race" incorporate elements of geography, cultural understanding, teamwork, and problem-solving. They encourage viewers to learn about different parts of the world, understand diverse perspectives, and appreciate the importance of collaboration. When utilized intentionally, reality TV can captivate audiences while providing educational value.

**Raising Awareness about Social Issues:** Reality TV has the potential to shed light on critical social issues and spark meaningful conversations. These shows can generate empathy and understanding among viewers by showcasing real people facing real challenges. Reality TV programs have explored mental health, addiction, body image, and social inequality, raising awareness and encouraging dialogue on these crucial issues. When done responsibly, reality TV can act as a catalyst for positive social change.

**Reflecting Popular and Democratic Taste:** Reality TV's popularity is a testament to its ability to reflect the interests and tastes of a broad audience. Its success hinges on resonating with viewers, offering a relatable and accessible form of entertainment. Reality TV gives the public a voice in shaping the content they consume, empowering them to influence programming choices. In this sense, it serves as a democratic medium that responds to the preferences of its audience, fostering a shared understanding of challenges and experiences.

**Cost-Effective Alternatives to Scripted Programming:** Reality TV often provides a more cost-effective alternative to scripted programming. The production costs associated with elaborate



sets, professional actors, and scripted storylines are significantly higher than those of reality TV shows. This cost efficiency allows networks to experiment with a broader range of programming options, offering opportunities for innovative content creation. Consequently, reality TV allows new producers, directors, and storytellers to enter the industry and contribute their unique perspectives.

Leaving aside the more light-hearted aspect of the matter, an exploration through the lens of critical discourse analysis reveals the prevalent fabrication of storylines and manipulation of events within reality TV programs. Such actions are undertaken to fashion more captivating narratives, thereby straying from the original intent of capturing unscripted reality. This purposeful manipulation compromises the authenticity and personal agency of the participants, thereby raising ethical dilemmas regarding the ambiguous boundaries between truth and fiction. Moreover, our analysis exposes the employment of emotional and psychological manipulation tactics by producers of reality shows. These tactics encompass the creation of high-pressure environments, the imposition of stress, and the exploitation of vulnerabilities to elicit intense emotional responses. Participants find themselves subjected to constant challenges, deprived of sleep, isolated, and subjected to psychological ploys intended to incite conflict and emotional breakdowns (Shapiro, Chock, 2003). The ethical concerns associated with these manipulative practices raise questions about the justifiable limits of treatment in the pursuit of entertainment.

The reality TV genre is plagued by a prominent issue: public humiliation. Participants are frequently subjected to humiliating or degrading situations solely for the sake of entertainment. This exploitation takes various forms, such as exposing personal secrets, engaging in controversial behavior, or enduring harsh criticism from judges or fellow contestants. The consequences for those involved are severe, causing significant psychological and emotional harm. The pursuit of ratings and sensationalism at the expense of participants' well-being and dignity raises ethical concerns regarding the treatment of human subjects in reality television.

Moreover, our analysis delves into the profound impact of reality TV on participants' well-being and personal lives. The intense scrutiny and public exposure during and after filming result in heightened stress, anxiety, and emotional distress. Privacy becomes a thing of the past as participants face cyberbullying, relentless media scrutiny, and the enduring effects of their portrayal on the show. Personal relationships, including friendships, romantic partnerships, and family bonds, often suffer due to reality shows' competitive and emotionally charged environment. The psychological toll on participants extends far beyond the filming period as they struggle to cope with the aftermath and reintegrate into their everyday lives.

Based on our critical discourse analysis, we have listed the critical areas of concern that shed light on the ethical dilemmas intrinsic to the reality TV genre. We can explore potential resolution avenues and increase awareness by acknowledging and addressing these issues. It is crucial to prioritize the rights and dignity of participants by implementing measures that ensure their well-being and protect them from undue harm. Additionally, the industry should consider developing and implementing ethical guidelines to govern the production and consumption of reality TV content.

***Prearranged Storylines and Fabricated Narratives:*** Beneath the guise of unscripted spontaneity, reality TV thrives on fabricated storylines and contrived narratives. Producers orchestrate scenes and engineer conflicts to craft compelling story arcs, coercing participants into predetermined roles and scenarios that compromise their authenticity and agency. This manipulation undermines the very essence of reality television, raising ethical questions about the blurring of truth and fiction in the pursuit of captivating audiences.

***Emotional and Psychological Manipulation:*** Behind closed doors, reality show producers employ a myriad of tactics to extract emotional responses and manipulate the behavior of participants. They subject contestants to high-pressure environments, induce stress, and exploit vulnerabilities, all in the pursuit of eliciting intense reactions. Relentless challenges, sleep deprivation, isolation, and psychological ploys are employed to incite conflict and provoke emotional breakdowns. Such emotional manipulation not only encroaches upon the well-being of participants but also raises ethical concerns about the limits of acceptable treatment in the name of entertainment.

***Public Humiliation and Exploitation:*** Public humiliation has become distressingly commonplace in reality shows, where participants are subjected to embarrassing or degrading situations solely for the sake of amusement. Whether through the exposure of personal secrets, controversial behavior, or harsh criticism from judges and fellow contestants, this form of exploitation exacts a heavy toll on the psychological and emotional well-being of those involved. Regrettably, the pursuit of ratings and sensationalism often trumps the consideration of participants' well-being and dignity, leading to legitimate, ethical apprehensions about the treatment of human subjects in reality television.

***Impact on Participants' Well-being and Personal Relationships:*** The exploitative nature of reality television wreaks havoc on the well-being and personal lives of participants. Intense scrutiny and public exposure can inflict heightened stress, anxiety, and emotional distress. Privacy becomes elusive while cyberbullying and relentless media scrutiny take their toll. Participants grapple with the enduring effects of their portrayal on the show, even as their personal relationships—friendships, romantic entanglements, or familial bonds—often strain under the weight of the competitive and emotionally charged environment that reality shows foster. The psychological toll extends far beyond the filming period, as participants struggle to reconcile their experiences and reintegrate into their everyday lives.

***The Illusion of Authenticity and the Deceptive Nature of Reality Shows:*** Reality shows profess to provide unfiltered glimpses into the lives of their participants, But the truth is often far from it. These shows employ editing techniques, staged scenarios, and scripted interactions to mold narratives that align with predetermined storylines. By creating an illusion of authenticity, reality television blurs the line between reality and fiction, deceiving viewers into believing they are witnessing actual events. This raises ethical concerns about the integrity of the content presented and the potential harm inflicted by misleading audiences.

***Perpetuation of Stereotypes and Harmful Cultural Norms:*** Reality television has faced censure for perpetuating stereotypes and reinforcing detrimental cultural norms. Certain shows rely on caricatures and exaggerated portrayals based on gender, race, or social background. In perpetuating these stereotypes, reality shows contribute to normalizing discriminatory attitudes and behaviors. Ethical questions emerge regarding the responsibility of producers and networks in perpetuating harmful cultural norms solely for the sake of entertainment.

***Influence on Viewers' Perception of Self-Worth and Aspirations:*** Reality television profoundly influences viewers' perception of self-worth and aspirations. The emphasis on physical appearance, wealth, and instant fame can lead viewers to internalize unrealistic standards and values. The glorification of material possessions and superficial achievements distorts their understanding of success, undermining the significance of genuine talent, hard

work, and personal growth. This ethical concern highlights the necessity for responsible programming that promotes healthy values and encourages viewers to cultivate realistic aspirations.

***Vulgarity, Sensationalism, and the Desensitization of Viewers:*** Many reality shows thrive on shock value, exploiting explicit language, provocative behavior, and controversial situations to captivate audiences. This emphasis on vulgarity and sensationalism raises ethical concerns about its impact on audience morality and the potential desensitization to inappropriate content. Pursuing higher ratings should never come at the expense of ethical boundaries and responsible programming.

***Materialism and the Glorification of Wealth:*** Reality television often fixates on material possessions and wealth, promoting a culture of consumerism and superficiality. The relentless focus on opulence and luxury can lead viewers to equate personal worth with material acquisitions, fostering a shallow and materialistic worldview. Ethical concerns arise regarding the role of reality shows in perpetuating societal inequalities and cultivating a culture that values material possessions over personal values and relationships.

***Superficiality and the Devaluation of Meaningful Achievements:*** The celebration of superficial achievements, such as instantaneous fame or acquiring wealth without significant effort, diminishes the importance of genuine accomplishments grounded in talent, hard work, and personal growth. Reality shows prioritizing sensationalism over meaningful achievements risk eroding the pursuit of excellence and distorting viewers' understanding of success. Ethical considerations must be given to the responsible portrayal of achievements and the promotion of values prioritizing personal development and authentic accomplishments.

***Media Responsibility and the Imperative of Ethical Guidelines:*** Given the potential ethical pitfalls of reality television, media responsibility assumes paramount importance. Producers, networks, and content creators are responsible for upholding ethical standards in producing and disseminating reality shows. The development and adherence to ethical guidelines can help ensure the protection of participants, the avoidance of harmful stereotypes, and the promotion of responsible programming that benefits society as a whole.

***Cultivating Media Literacy and Exercising Critical Consumption:*** As viewers, cultivating media literacy and exercising critical consumption are essential tools for navigating the ethical challenges posed by reality television. By actively questioning the content, understanding production techniques, and being mindful of the potential impact on personal values and beliefs, viewers can make informed choices and mitigate the negative effects of reality shows. Promoting media literacy as part of education and public discourse becomes crucial in fostering responsible media consumption and shielding individuals from the potential harm caused by distorted representations of reality.

## CONCLUSION

The prevalence of reality television has undeniably sparked many ethical concerns that warrant our attention. Throughout this discussion, we have explored various issues, including the exploitation of participants, distorted reflections of reality, the erosion of moral values, and the need for societal responsibility. First and foremost, it is crucial to recapitulate the ethical concerns raised by reality television. The prearranged storylines, emotional manipulation, and public humiliation experienced by participants reveal the darker side of this genre. Moreover, the illusion of authenticity, perpetuation of stereotypes, and influence on viewers' self-worth underscore the potential harm that reality shows can inflict on individuals and society as a whole. Additionally, the vulgarity, materialism, and superficiality prevalent in many reality programs contribute to the erosion of moral values.

In light of these ethical dilemmas, it is imperative that we raise awareness, promote accountability, and encourage responsible media practices. Media stakeholders, including producers, networks, and regulators, are responsible for upholding ethical standards in reality television production. Implementing clear guidelines and safeguards can help protect the well-being and dignity of participants, ensure fairness and authenticity, and challenge harmful narratives. Furthermore, cultivating awareness among viewers is vital. It is essential for individuals to critically engage with reality television, question its narratives, and be conscious of the potential impact on their perceptions, values, and aspirations. By fostering media literacy within educational settings and through public discourse, we can empower individuals to make informed choices, consume media responsibly, and resist the negative effects of distorted representations of reality.

In reality television, a realm where manipulation and exploitation can tarnish the authenticity of the human experience, a glimmer of possibility exists. Indeed, some have shamelessly toyed with the shows, twisting them to serve their own selfish desires and leaving ordinary individuals to navigate the treacherous path of fleeting fame, only to tumble into a devastating abyss. Yet, amidst these ethical concerns, we must also acknowledge the potential for a positive impact. For the ordinary dreamer, whose heart brims with visions of fame and fortune, reality programs offer a tantalizing avenue for actualizing their aspirations. Drawing inspiration from the wise words of the ancient Greek philosopher Aristotle, who pondered the healing power of theatre, we can surmise that those who attend the grand spectacle of reality television seek a cure, a relief, a restoration of their weary souls. It is within this realm that our inner passions and ambitions, so often concealed, are unveiled and given a stage upon which to dance.

After a weary day of tending to the mundane complexities of relationships and responsibilities, reality shows present themselves as the perfect elixir. They serve as a conduit for emotional restoration, a cathartic release that allows us to traverse the depths of our own souls. In the midst of this rapturous journey, we find ourselves grappling with profound questions, our hearts echoing with the eternal inquiry, "What would I do?" Through the artistry of these shows, we are beckoned to walk in the shoes of others, to forge connections with their triumphs and tribulations, and in doing so, we find glimpses of our own humanity. However, as discerning individuals, we must navigate the blurred lines between truth and fiction that weave their way through the fabric of reality television. This responsibility falls under the mantle of responsible citizenship, demanding a keen ability to discern the ethereal veil that separates the factual from the fabricated. Within this delicate balance, we tread, for the reality genre holds within its grasp, the potential to violate, manipulate, harm, and humiliate but also to uplift, inspire, motivate, and propel us toward purposeful endeavors.

## REFERENCES

- Babula, M., Tookey, M., Muschert, G., Neal, M. (2020). Altruism as a Pathway to Unethical Action. *IJOES*, 2(36), 235-247. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoes-09-2019-0156>
- Bell-Jordan, K. (2008). Black. White. And a survivor of the real world: Constructions of race on reality TV. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 25(4), 353-372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15295030802327725>
- Chandler, D., & Munday, R. (2011). *A Dictionary of Media and Communication*. Oxford Index. Oxford University Press. <http://oxfordindex.oup.com/view/10.1093/oi/authority.20110803095753205>
- Dunn, J. (2016). Going To Work at the Moonlite Bunny Ranch. *Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies*, 6(16), 525-535. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532708616655822>
- Feltwell, T., Wood, G., Long, K., Brooker, P., Schofield, T., Petridis, I., ... & Lawson, S. (2017). "I've been manipulated!" <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025833>
- Giancioppi, S. (2012, October 9). Pros and Cons: Reality Television. *The Concordian*. Retrieved April 22, 2016, from <https://theconcordian.com/2012/10/pros-and-cons-reality-television/>
- Heeney, J. (2015). Disability welfare reform and the Chav threat: A reflection on social class and 'contested disabilities.' *Disability & Society*, 30(4), 650-653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2015.1026745>
- Hill, A. (2017). Reality Tv Engagement: Producer and Audience Relations for Reality Talent Shows. *Media Industries*, 1(4). <https://doi.org/10.3998/mij.15031809.0004.106>
- Jika Aku Menjadi (If I Were...) - Reality Show. (2012, August 13). *Italki*. Retrieved April 22, 2016, from <https://www.italki.com/entry/230059>
- King, G. (2015). *The Spectacle of the Real*. Intellect Ltd.
- Klein, B., Coleman, S. (2021). Look at Me, I'm On Tv: The Political Dimensions of Reality Television Participation. *Media, Culture & Society*, 3(44), 497-513. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01634437211036979>
- Lavie, N. (2016). 'Reality' Television Critique in Israel: How 'Quality' Became 'Morality'. *Cultural Sociology*, 4(10), 502-522. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975516650231>
- Lavie, N. (2017). Justifying Trash: Regulating Reality Tv in Israel. *Television & New Media*, 3(20), 219-240. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476417742970>
- Lavie, N. (2020). Trapped in reality: Justification and capitalism in the discourse of reality TV creators in Israel. *Media Industries*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.3998/mij.15031809.0007.101>
- Matthews, J., Nairn, A. (2020). Lights, Action, Naughty Bits: a Thematic Analysis Of New Zealanders' Attitudes To Naked Attraction. *ijcs*, 2(5), 49-68. <https://doi.org/10.22492/ijcs.5.2.04>
- Miller, A., & Narro, A. (2008). Cultural Values in Reality Television. *Proceedings of the National Communication Association*. EBSCO.
- Nikunen, K. (2015). Media, Passion and Humanitarian Reality Television. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 3(19), 265-282. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549415609324>

Olsson, J., & Spigel, L. (2004). *Television After TV: Essays on a Medium in Transition*. Duke University Press.

Pavlikova, M., Pavlikova, M., Jenisová, Z. (2021). The Use of Media in the Field of Individual Responsibility for Sustainable Development in Schools: A Proposal for An Approach to Learning About Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 8(13), 4138. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084138>

Rahmawati, A. (2021). Manufacturing authenticity: The rise of Indonesian micro-celebrities on Instagram. *JTM*, 13(1), 108. <https://doi.org/10.26623/themessenger.v13i1.999>

Reality Television. Retrieved April 22, 2023, from <http://joyyblase.weebly.com>

Russell, R. (n.d.). The Positive and Negative Effects of Reality TV. EHow. Retrieved April 22, 2016, from [http://www.ehow.com/list\\_7160667\\_positive-negative-effects-reality-tv.html](http://www.ehow.com/list_7160667_positive-negative-effects-reality-tv.html)

Ruth, A., Lundy, L., Park, T. (2005). Glitz, Glamour, and The Farm: Portrayal of Agriculture as The Simple Life. *Journal of Applied Communications*, 4(89). <https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1311>

Shapiro, M., Chock, T. (2003). Psychological Processes in Perceiving Reality. *Media Psychology*, 2(5), 163-198. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532785xmep0502\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532785xmep0502_3)

Sperry, S., Thompson, J., Sarwer, D., & Cash, T. (2009). Cosmetic surgery reality TV viewership. *Annals of Plastic Surgery*, 62(1), 7-11. <https://doi.org/10.1097/sap.0b013e31817e2cb8>

Stefanone, M., Lackaff, D., Rosen, D. (2010). The Relationship Between Traditional Mass Media and “Social Media”: Reality Television as A Model For Social Network Site Behavior. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 3(54), 508-525. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2010.498851>

Stewart, B. (n.d.). Reality Television. Retrieved April 15, 2023, from <http://media.litmuse.net/essays/reality-television-1>

Toepfl, F. (2016). Innovating consultative authoritarianism: Internet votes as a novel digital tool to stabilize non-democratic rule in Russia. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 956-972. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816675444>

Tremlett, A. (2014). Demotic or demonic? Race, class, and gender in 'Gypsy' reality TV. *The Sociological Review*, 62(2), 316-334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-954x.12134>

Watanabe, H. (2016). Effects of self-evaluation threat on schadenfreude toward strangers in a reality TV show. *Psychol Rep*, 118(3), 778-792. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294116648767>

Wright, K. (2015). Cultural Realities and Politics in Popular Culture. Prezi. Retrieved April 22, 2016, from <https://prezi.com/pgk7wboakmjg/cultural-realities-and-politics-in-popular-culture/>

Yazdanparast, A., Naderi, I., Spears, N., Fabrize, R. (2018). Advertising and Pseudo-culture. *Journal of Macromarketing*, 2(38), 185-205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0276146718762475>